Ciencia Latina Revista Científica Multidisciplinar, Ciudad de México, México.
ISSN 2707-2207 / ISSN 2707-2215 (en línea), marzo-abril 2026,
Volumen 10, Número 2.

https://doi.org/10.37811/cl_rcm.v10i2

AGILE METHODOLOGIES IN OCCUPATIONAL HEALTH AND SAFETY: SCRUM, KANBAN AND DESIGN THINKING FOR ADAPTIVE SAFETY MANAGEMENT

METODOLOGÍAS ÁGILES EN SEGURIDAD Y SALUD LABORAL: SCRUM, KANBAN Y DESIGN THINKING PARA UNA GESTIÓN ADAPTATIVA DE LA SEGURIDAD

Wilman Yesid Ardila Barbosa
Universidad Nacional Abierta y a Distancia, Colombia

Hermes Ramón González Acevedo
Universidad Nacional Abierta y a Distancia, Colombia

Lorena Andrea Pérez Hernández
Universidad Nacional Abierta y a Distancia, Colombia

Herminio Pabón Trujillo
Corporación Universitaria Minuto de Dios, Colombia

Maribel Escalante Mantilla
Corporación Universitaria Minuto de Dios**,** Colombia

DOI: https://doi.org/10.37811/cl_rcm.v10i2.23293

Agile Methodologies in Occupational Health and Safety: Scrum, Kanban and Design Thinking for Adaptive Safety Management

Wilman Yesid Ardila Barbosa[1]
wilman.ardila@unad.edu.co
https://orcid.org/0000-0001-9314-2961
Universidad Nacional Abierta y a Distancia
Colombia

Lorena Andrea Pérez Hernández
lorena.perez@unad.edu.co
https://orcid.org/0009-0001-9211-4915
Universidad Nacional Abierta y a Distancia
Colombia

Maribel Escalante Mantilla
maribel.escalante@uniminuto.edu
https://orcid.org/0009-0004-1362-5891
Corporación Universitaria
Minuto de Dios - Cúcuta
Colombia

Hermes Ramón González Acevedo
hermes.gonzalez@unad.edu.co
https://orcid.org/0000-0002-7741-5656
Universidad Nacional Abierta y a Distancia
Colombia

Herminio Pabón Trujillo
herminio.pabon.t@uniminuto.edu
https://orcid.org/0000-0001-5636-4813
Corporación Universitaria
Minuto de Dios - Cúcuta
Colombia

ABSTRACT

Agile methodologies particularly Scrum, Kanban, and Design Thinking, have expanded beyond software engineering and are increasingly discussed as management approaches for occupational health and safety (OHS). These approaches emphasize adaptability, iterative learning, and collaborative problem solving, which are critical in complex and dynamic work environments. This reflection article examines how agile frameworks may contribute to the evolution of conventional OHS management from predominantly compliance driven models toward more adaptive, participatory, and innovation-oriented practices. Based on a conceptual analysis of the literature, the paper discusses the potential of agile methodologies to strengthen organizational safety culture, enhance risk management processes, and accelerate the development of worker centered safety solutions. It also addresses barriers to implementation, including regulatory constraints, organizational resistance, and limited training in agile thinking among OHS professionals. The article argues that integrating agile principles into OHS management systems may support proactive prevention, stakeholder engagement, and continuous improvement in safety outcomes.

Keywords: occupational health and safety; agile; scrum; kanban; design thinking.

[1] Autor principal
Correspondencia: wilman.ardila@unad.edu.co

Metodologías Ágiles en Seguridad y Salud Laboral: Scrum, Kanban y Design Thinking para una Gestión Adaptativa de la Seguridad

RESUMEN

Las metodologías ágiles, particularmente Scrum, Kanban y Design Thinking, han superado el ámbito del desarrollo de software y hoy se analizan como enfoques de gestión aplicables a la seguridad y salud en el trabajo. Este artículo de reflexión examina cómo estos marcos pueden contribuir a la evolución de los sistemas tradicionales de gestión de la seguridad, desde modelos centrados en el cumplimiento hacia enfoques más adaptativos, participativos e innovadores. Con base en una revisión conceptual de literatura científica y técnica, se analizan sus aportes para fortalecer la cultura de seguridad, mejorar la gestión del riesgo y promover soluciones preventivas centradas en los trabajadores. Asimismo, se discuten barreras de implementación como las restricciones regulatorias, la resistencia organizacional al cambio y la necesidad de desarrollar competencias profesionales en enfoques ágiles. Se concluye que la integración de principios ágiles en la gestión de SST puede favorecer la prevención proactiva, la participación de los actores organizacionales y la mejora continua del desempeño en seguridad.

Palabras clave: seguridad y salud en el trabajo; metodologías ágiles; Scrum; Kanban; Design Thinking.

Artículo recibido 28 febrero 2026
Aceptado para publicación: 28 marzo 2026

INTRODUCTION

Agile methodologies have gained considerable attention as organizations seek more adaptive and collaborative approaches for managing complex projects and operational challenges. Originating in software development, frameworks such as Scrum and Kanban emphasize iterative delivery, transparency, and responsiveness to change, while Design Thinking contributes human centered inquiry and rapid prototyping to address ambiguous problems (General Digital, 2023; KVY Technology, 2023).

In occupational health and safety (OHS), management practices have traditionally relied on structured and compliance oriented approaches, frequently centered on regulatory adherence, audits, and incident investigation. While these components remain necessary, contemporary workplaces face accelerating technological change, reconfigured work arrangements, and emerging psychosocial risks, which demand more adaptive management capabilities and stronger participation mechanisms to sustain prevention and worker well-being (Denning, 2016; International Journal of Environmental Research and Public Health, 2016).

Agile methodologies offer a potentially useful lens to respond to these demands. Their emphasis on collaboration, feedback loops, and continuous improvement aligns with modern safety management principles particularly those associated with proactive risk identification, learning oriented prevention, and timely adaptation to changing conditions (SafetyCulture, 2023; Atlassian, 2024). In addition, Design Thinking has been proposed as a complementary approach for occupational safety innovation, because it prioritizes empathy with workers' experiences, reframes safety problems as design challenges, and encourages iterative solution development with stakeholder involvement (Altron HealthTech, 2022; ERM, 2022).

From this perspective, integrating agile methodologies into OHS management may contribute to strengthening organizational safety culture, improving decision making, and fostering more responsive and resilient safety systems. However, adoption is not automatic: cultural resistance, constraints imposed by regulatory environments, and gaps in professional competencies can limit implementation highlighting the need for intentional capability-building and organizational alignment (Chartered Institute of Ergonomics and Human Factors, 2019; Rasmussen et al., 2018).

Accordingly, this reflection article analyzes the theoretical foundations and practical implications of applying Scrum, Kanban, and Design Thinking in OHS management. It explores how these approaches can support safety innovation, enhance risk management, and promote worker centered, continuously improving safety systems.

METHODOLOGY

This study follows a qualitative reflective approach based on documentary analysis of scientific and technical literature related to Agile methodologies and occupational health and safety (OHS). The article was conceived as a reflection paper aimed at examining the conceptual contributions of Scrum, Kanban, and Design Thinking, as well as their potential applicability to contemporary safety management systems.

The review process considered peer-reviewed articles, technical reports, institutional publications, and specialized professional sources addressing Agile management practices, safety culture, organizational learning, human-centered design, and innovation in occupational risk prevention. The selection of sources responded to their relevance for understanding how Agile principles can be translated into safety-critical organizational settings.

The analysis was organized through thematic categories that guided the reflective synthesis of the evidence. These categories included: foundations of Agile methodologies, applications of Scrum, Kanban, and Design Thinking in OHS, comparative contributions of Agile frameworks, benefits for safety management, implementation barriers, training needs, organizational culture, and future trends. This methodological approach allowed the development of an integrative discussion centered on the opportunities, limitations, and implications of Agile methodologies for adaptive safety management.

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

Overview of Agile Methodologies

Agile methodologies constitute a set of management principles and practices that prioritize adaptability, collaboration, and iterative value creation in complex organizational environments. Initially developed within the software engineering sector to address rapidly changing requirements and uncertainty in project development, Agile approaches have progressively expanded to other fields, including

healthcare, organizational management, and occupational health and safety (OHS) (General Digital, 2023; KVY Technology, 2023).

At their conceptual core, Agile methodologies emphasize continuous improvement, rapid feedback cycles, stakeholder participation, and incremental delivery of solutions. Rather than relying on rigid planning structures, Agile frameworks promote adaptive planning processes that allow teams to respond dynamically to emerging challenges and evolving needs. This characteristic is particularly relevant in OHS management, where workplace risks are constantly influenced by technological changes, organizational transformations, and evolving regulatory contexts (Teebi, 2023; IEEE, 2020).

Agile management is also grounded in the principle of cross functional collaboration, whiche ncourages multidisciplinary teams to work collectively toward shared objectives. In occupational safety contexts, this collaborative orientation can facilitate stronger integration between safety professionals, operational managers, engineers, and workers themselves. Such integration is essential for addressing complex workplace hazards that require technical, organizational, and behavioral interventions (Codecool Business, 2023; Cprime, 2023).

Among the most widely adopted Agile frameworks are Scrum, Kanban, and Design Thinking, each offering distinct yet complementary mechanisms for managing complexity and fostering innovation. Scrum provides a structured framework for organizing teamwork through short iterative cycles known as sprints, which allow teams to evaluate progress and adapt strategies regularly. Kanban, in contrast, emphasizes workflow visualization and continuous task flow management, enabling organizations to identify bottlenecks and improve operational efficiency. Design Thinking contributes a human centered innovation process focused on understanding user needs and generating creative solutions through iterative prototyping (SafetyCulture, 2023; Atlassian, 2024; DeakinCo, 2022).

When applied to occupational health and safety, these frameworks can support more responsive and participatory safety management systems. Agile methodologies allow organizations to move beyond purely reactive approaches centered on incident investigation toward proactive prevention models that continuously adapt to emerging risks and operational realities. Through iterative cycles of planning, implementation, evaluation, and learning, Agile approaches reinforce the principles of continuous improvement that underpin modern safety management systems (EHS Leaders, 2020; Denning, 2016).

Furthermore, the integration of Agile methodologies with safety management aligns with broader organizational trends toward innovation driven risk management. As workplaces become increasingly complex and technologically mediated, traditional hierarchical decision-making models may struggle to respond rapidly to safety challenges. Agile frameworks offer an alternative by empowering teams to experiment with solutions, gather rapid feedback, and refine safety practices through iterative learning processes (Zhang et al., 2022; Teebi, 2023). From this perspective, Agile methodologies should not be understood merely as project management tools, but rather as organizational philosophies capable of reshaping how safety challenges are identified, analyzed, and addressed. Their potential contribution to occupational health and safety lies in their capacity to foster learning-oriented cultures, strengthen stakeholder engagement, and promote adaptive strategies that enhance both worker well-being and organizational resilience.

Scrum in Occupational Health and Safety

Scrum is one of the most widely implemented Agile frameworks and has been increasingly explored in sectors beyond software development, including healthcare, public management, and organizational safety systems. The framework provides a structured yet flexible approach to managing complex projects through iterative cycles known as sprints, enabling teams to deliver incremental improvements while continuously adapting to feedback and evolving conditions (SafetyCulture, 2023; Atlassian, 2024).

In the context of occupational health and safety (OHS), Scrum offers a practical structure for organizing safety initiatives in environments characterized by uncertainty and dynamic risk conditions. Traditional safety management approaches often rely on periodic audits, compliance checks, and reactive incident investigations. While these practices remain essential, they may not always provide sufficient agility to respond to rapidly emerging hazards or operational changes. Scrum's iterative structure allows organizations to divide safety initiatives into manageable increments, enabling continuous monitoring, rapid evaluation, and timely adjustment of prevention strategies (EHS Leaders, 2020; OHS Canada, 2022).

The Scrum framework is built around three key roles: the Product Owner, the Scrum Master, and the Development Team. In OHS contexts, these roles can be interpreted in ways that align with safety

management structures. For example, the Product Owner may represent the safety manager or safety committee responsible for prioritizing safety objectives and regulatory compliance requirements. The Scrum Master can function as a facilitator who ensures that safety processes run smoothly and that organizational barriers to safety improvements are addressed. The Development Team may consist of multidisciplinary personnel including engineers, supervisors, ergonomists, and frontline workers who collaborate to implement safety interventions and evaluate their effectiveness (SafetyCulture, 2023; Meegle, 2023).

Another important feature of Scrum is the set of structured events that support communication and transparency throughout the project lifecycle. These events typically include sprint planning, daily stand-up meetings, sprint reviews, and retrospectives. Within occupational safety management, these mechanisms can enhance information sharing about workplace hazards, near misses, and ongoing safety initiatives. Daily stand-up meetings, for example, may serve as brief safety coordination sessions in which team members discuss emerging risks, operational changes, or barriers affecting safe work practices (ETKHO, 2023).

Furthermore, Scrum emphasizes the concept of psychological safety, which refers to a team environment where individuals feel comfortable expressing concerns, reporting errors, and proposing improvements without fear of blame or retaliation. This principle is particularly relevant in occupational health and safety, where effective risk prevention often depends on open communication about unsafe conditions, near misses, and operational vulnerabilities. Research suggests that psychologically safe environments significantly improve organizational learning and safety reporting behaviors, which are essential components of proactive safety management (Scrum.org, 2023; Denning, 2016).

From a strategic perspective, Scrum can support the development of adaptive safety management systems. By structuring safety initiatives into iterative cycles, organizations can experiment with interventions, evaluate their effectiveness, and refine prevention strategies based on real-time feedback. This iterative learning process aligns closely with contemporary safety science perspectives that emphasize continuous improvement, resilience, and systemic learning in complex sociotechnical systems (Zhang et al., 2022).

However, implementing Scrum within OHS contexts requires careful organizational alignment. Safety management often operates within regulatory frameworks that demand documentation, traceability, and formal procedures. Integrating Scrum practices therefore requires balancing the flexibility of agile methods with compliance obligations and established safety management standards. When appropriately adapted, Scrum can complement existing safety management systems by providing a more dynamic mechanism for managing safety initiatives and fostering collaborative problem-solving across organizational levels (Teebi, 2023; IEEE, 2020).

Overall, the adoption of Scrum within occupational health and safety should be understood not simply as the application of a project management framework, but as part of a broader organizational transformation toward collaborative, learning-oriented, and adaptive safety management practices. By encouraging multidisciplinary participation, iterative improvement, and transparent communication, Scrum can contribute to strengthening safety culture and enhancing the responsiveness of organizations to emerging workplace risks.

Kanban and Its Application in Occupational Health and Safety

Kanban is another widely used Agile methodology that focuses on workflow visualization, task prioritization, and continuous process improvement. Originally derived from lean manufacturing principles developed in the Japanese automotive industry, Kanban was later adapted to knowledge work environments to enhance efficiency and transparency in project management processes. The central premise of Kanban is to visualize tasks within a workflow system, allowing teams to monitor progress, identify bottlenecks, and optimize operational performance (SafetyCulture, 2023; Atlassian, 2024).

In occupational health and safety (OHS), Kanban offers a practical mechanism for managing safety related tasks and monitoring the status of preventive actions in real time. Safety management often involves multiple simultaneous activities such as incident investigations, corrective action tracking, safety audits, training programs, and regulatory compliance processes. By visualizing these activities on Kanban boards, organizations can improve transparency and coordination among safety teams while ensuring that critical safety issues receive timely attention (Mountain Goat Software, 2022; EHS Leaders, 2020).

A typical Kanban system is structured around a visual board that organizes tasks into columns representing stages of workflow for example, identified hazards, risk assessment, corrective action planning, implementation, and verification. This visual representation allows safety teams to easily track the status of safety initiatives and quickly identify delays or bottlenecks that could compromise risk control efforts. Such transparency supports more effective decision making and enhances accountability among team members responsible for safety interventions (SafetyCulture, 2023).

Another key concept in Kanban is Work in Progress (WIP) limits, which restrict the number of tasks that can be actively processed at any given time. This mechanism prevents teams from overloading safety management processes and encourages the completion of existing tasks before initiating new ones. In OHS management, WIP limits can help ensure that corrective actions derived from incident investigations or safety inspections are completed effectively rather than accumulating as unresolved safety issues (Atlassian, 2024; Mountain Goat Software, 2022).

Kanban also supports the principle of continuous flow, where work progresses steadily through the system rather than being organized into fixed iterations as in Scrum. This characteristic makes Kanban particularly suitable for safety management contexts where risks and operational issues may arise unpredictably and require immediate attention. For example, hazard reporting systems, corrective action tracking, and compliance monitoring processes can benefit from Kanban's flexible workflow structure, which allows safety teams to respond promptly to emerging safety concerns (Teebi, 2023).

From a broader organizational perspective, Kanban contributes to the development of visual safety management systems, where safety information becomes easily accessible and understandable across the organization. Visual management tools can enhance communication between operational personnel and safety professionals, supporting faster identification of safety issues and encouraging greater participation from workers in reporting hazards and proposing improvements (Codecool Business, 2023).

Moreover, the integration of Kanban within occupational safety management aligns with modern approaches to lean safety and continuous improvement. By systematically analyzing workflow efficiency and identifying process constraints, organizations can reduce delays in safety interventions,

improve the implementation of corrective measures, and strengthen the overall effectiveness of safety management systems (Denning, 2016; IEEE, 2020).

Despite these advantages, the successful implementation of Kanban in OHS requires organizational commitment to transparency and continuous monitoring. Safety information must be consistently updated, and teams must be trained to interpret workflow data and respond appropriately to emerging patterns. Without these conditions, Kanban boards may become merely symbolic tools rather than effective mechanisms for improving safety performance (Teebi, 2023).

Ultimately, Kanban offers a flexible and scalable framework that can enhance the management of safety initiatives by improving visibility, promoting accountability, and supporting continuous improvement in occupational health and safety processes. When integrated with broader safety management strategies, Kanban can contribute to more responsive and efficient risk management systems capable of addressing the evolving challenges of modern workplaces.

Design Thinking and Agile Integration in Occupational Health and Safety

Design Thinking has emerged as an influential innovation methodology focused on solving complex problems through human centered design processes. Unlike traditional analytical approaches, Design Thinking emphasizes empathy with users, iterative experimentation, and collaborative ideation to generate solutions that respond effectively to real-world needs. This methodology has gained increasing relevance in organizational management, healthcare innovation, and occupational health and safety (OHS), where complex sociotechnical systems require participatory and adaptive approaches to risk prevention (Altron HealthTech, 2022; DeakinCo, 2022).

The Design Thinking process is typically structured around five interconnected stages: empathize, define, ideate, prototype, and test. These stages guide teams through a systematic process of understanding user experiences, identifying underlying problems, generating creative solutions, and testing potential interventions in iterative cycles. In occupational health and safety, this process allows organizations to move beyond purely compliance-based safety models toward more participatory and innovation-oriented safety management systems (ERM, 2022; Rasmussen et al., 2018).

One of the key contributions of Design Thinking to OHS lies in its capacity to incorporate workers' perspectives directly into the design of safety interventions. Traditional safety programs often rely on

top-down decision-making processes where safety policies are designed primarily by management or technical experts. While technically sound, such approaches may overlook practical realities experienced by workers in daily operations. Design Thinking addresses this limitation by prioritizing worker experience and engaging employees as co-creators in the development of safety solutions (Chartered Institute of Ergonomics and Human Factors, 2019).

This participatory orientation aligns closely with contemporary safety science perspectives, which emphasize the importance of understanding work as actually performed rather than solely relying on formal procedures. By exploring how workers interact with tools, technologies, and organizational processes, Design Thinking helps identify latent risks and opportunities for safer system design. These insights can then be translated into practical interventions such as redesigned workflows, ergonomic improvements, digital safety tools, or enhanced communication systems (Rasmussen et al., 2018).

Furthermore, Design Thinking complements Agile frameworks such as Scrum and Kanban by introducing a structured innovation process focused on problem framing and solution exploration. While Scrum and Kanban primarily organize workflow and team coordination, Design Thinking provides a methodology for identifying the right problems to solve and for generating creative responses to safety challenges. When integrated, these methodologies create a powerful framework for iterative safety innovation, where safety interventions are continuously designed, tested, and refined in collaboration with stakeholders (ERM, 2022).

Another important contribution of Design Thinking to occupational safety is its connection with the concept of Prevention through Design (PtD). This approach advocates integrating safety considerations into the earliest stages of system design to eliminate hazards before they emerge in operational environments. By applying Design Thinking principles, organizations can proactively identify potential safety risks during the design of work processes, technologies, and physical environments, thereby reducing reliance on reactive control measures (American Public Health Association, 2014; Learntastic, 2023).

Additionally, Design Thinking supports the development of innovation ecosystems in safety management, where multidisciplinary teams collaborate to address complex safety challenges. Engineers, ergonomists, occupational health specialists, designers, and workers can collectively explore

new approaches to risk prevention using prototyping and experimentation. This collaborative environment encourages the exploration of emerging technologies such as wearable safety devices, digital monitoring systems, and immersive training tools that may enhance workplace safety outcomes (We Are Progressive, 2023).

Despite its potential benefits, the implementation of Design Thinking in OHS also presents challenges. Safety professionals may require additional training to effectively apply design methodologies, and organizations must cultivate a culture that values experimentation and learning. Moreover, integrating participatory design processes into safety management systems may require adjustments in organizational structures and decision-making processes. However, when successfully implemented, Design Thinking can significantly strengthen organizations' capacity to develop innovative, worker-centered safety solutions (Chartered Institute of Ergonomics and Human Factors, 2019).

Overall, the integration of Design Thinking with Agile methodologies offers a promising pathway for transforming occupational health and safety management. By combining human centered design with iterative project management approaches, organizations can create more adaptive, inclusive, and innovative safety systems capable of addressing the complex and evolving risks of modern workplaces.

Comparison of Agile Methodologies in Occupational Health and Safety

Agile methodologies encompass a variety of frameworks designed to improve project management through flexibility, collaboration, and continuous improvement. Among the most widely adopted approaches are Scrum, Kanban, and Design Thinking, each offering different mechanisms for organizing work, addressing complex problems, and facilitating organizational learning. While these methodologies share common Agile principles—such as adaptability, iterative development, and stakeholder involvement—their operational structures and primary objectives differ significantly (SafetyCulture, 2023; Atlassian, 2024).

In occupational health and safety (OHS), understanding the distinctions among these frameworks is essential for selecting the most appropriate methodology according to organizational needs, the nature of safety challenges, and the level of complexity involved in safety management processes. Rather than competing approaches, Scrum, Kanban, and Design Thinking should be viewed as complementary tools

that can support different dimensions of safety management and innovation (Project Management Institute, 2023; Teebi, 2023).

Scrum

Scrum is characterized by its structured approach to project management through clearly defined roles, artifacts, and time-boxed iterations known as sprints. These cycles allow teams to work collaboratively toward incremental improvements while continuously reviewing and adapting their strategies. In occupational safety contexts, Scrum is particularly useful for managing complex safety initiatives that require coordinated collaboration among multidisciplinary teams, such as implementing new safety management systems, developing training programs, or designing safety improvement projects (SafetyCulture, 2023; Meegle, 2023).

The iterative nature of Scrum allows safety professionals to evaluate the effectiveness of interventions regularly and adjust strategies based on emerging risks or operational feedback. This capability is particularly relevant in industries characterized by high levels of uncertainty and operational complexity, such as construction, manufacturing, and healthcare (StarAgile, 2023).

Kanban

Kanban differs from Scrum in its emphasis on workflow visualization and continuous task flow rather than fixed iterations. By using visual boards to track tasks across different stages of completion, Kanban enables teams to identify bottlenecks, prioritize critical activities, and maintain a steady flow of work. In OHS management, Kanban can be particularly effective for monitoring ongoing safety processes such as incident investigations, corrective action implementation, and regulatory compliance tracking (SafetyCulture, 2023; Mountain Goat Software, 2022).

Unlike Scrum, Kanban does not impose specific roles or time constraints, making it more flexible and adaptable to existing organizational structures. This flexibility allows safety teams to integrate Kanban practices into their current management systems without requiring major structural changes, which may facilitate adoption in organizations with established safety procedures (Atlassian, 2024).

Design Thinking

Design Thinking differs from both Scrum and Kanban by focusing primarily on problem exploration and innovation rather than workflow management. Its human-centered approach prioritizes

understanding users' experiences and identifying underlying needs before developing solutions. Through iterative prototyping and testing, Design Thinking enables organizations to explore creative approaches to complex challenges in workplace safety (Altron HealthTech, 2022; DeakinCo, 2022).

In occupational health and safety, this methodology is particularly valuable for addressing systemic safety issues that involve human behavior, ergonomics, and organizational culture. By involving workers, safety professionals, and managers in collaborative ideation processes, Design Thinking helps generate innovative safety interventions that are better aligned with real operational conditions (ERM, 2022).

Key Differences and Complementarities

Although Scrum, Kanban, and Design Thinking share common Agile principles, they differ significantly in their operational focus. Scrum emphasizes iterative project management, Kanban prioritizes workflow optimization, and Design Thinking focuses on innovation and problem framing. These differences make each methodology suitable for addressing different types of safety challenges within organizations.

Rather than selecting a single methodology, many organizations benefit from combining these approaches. For example, Design Thinking can be used to identify and conceptualize innovative safety solutions, Scrum can organize the implementation of safety improvement projects, and Kanban can monitor ongoing safety processes and corrective actions. This integrated approach enables organizations to leverage the strengths of each framework to create more adaptive and resilient safety management systems (Project Management Institute, 2023).

Agile Methodologies and OHS Applications

When applied strategically, these Agile methodologies can significantly enhance occupational health and safety performance. Scrum facilitates structured collaboration for safety improvement initiatives, Kanban improves visibility and efficiency in safety operations, and Design Thinking encourages innovative, worker-centered solutions to complex safety problems. Together, these frameworks provide organizations with a comprehensive toolkit for managing safety challenges in dynamic work environments (Zhang et al., 2022).

Table 1. Conceptual comparison of Agile methodologies in OHS

Methodology	Main focus	Strengths in ohs	Typical applications in safety management
SCRUM	Iterative project management	Team collaboration, structured improvement cycles	Safety improvement projects, safety system implementation
KANBAN	Workflow visualization and process optimization	Transparency, real-time monitoring of safety tasks	Incident tracking, corrective action management
DESIGN THINKING	Human-centered innovation	Worker participation, creative problem-solving	Safety design, ergonomics, prevention through design

Benefits of Agile Methodologies in Occupational Health and Safety

The integration of Agile methodologies into occupational health and safety (OHS) management offers several advantages that can significantly improve the effectiveness of safety systems in modern organizations. Agile frameworks emphasize adaptability, collaboration, and continuous learning—characteristics that are increasingly necessary in workplaces facing rapid technological change, evolving risk profiles, and complex organizational dynamics (Zhang et al., 2022; Teebi, 2023).

One of the most significant benefits of Agile methodologies in OHS is the promotion of continuous improvement. Agile frameworks incorporate iterative evaluation processes that allow teams to regularly assess the effectiveness of safety interventions and refine their strategies based on feedback and performance data. This approach aligns closely with modern safety management systems that emphasize ongoing learning and improvement as essential components of effective risk prevention (SafetyCulture, 2023).

Another important advantage is the strengthening of organizational safety culture. Agile practices encourage open communication, collaboration, and shared responsibility among team members. Through mechanisms such as regular team meetings, feedback sessions, and collaborative problem-solving processes, Agile frameworks create environments where workers feel empowered to report hazards, propose improvements, and actively participate in safety management. Such participatory environments are closely associated with stronger safety cultures and improved safety outcomes (Denning, 2016; Codecool Business, 2023).

Agile methodologies also enhance risk management capabilities by enabling organizations to identify and address hazards more proactively. Traditional safety management approaches often rely on retrospective analyses of incidents or periodic safety inspections. In contrast, Agile approaches encourage continuous monitoring of workplace conditions and rapid adaptation of safety strategies in response to emerging risks. This proactive approach can help reduce the likelihood of workplace accidents and improve the responsiveness of safety systems (EHS Leaders, 2020; Zhang et al., 2022).

Furthermore, Agile frameworks contribute to greater operational efficiency in safety management processes. By prioritizing tasks, visualizing workflows, and promoting collaboration among multidisciplinary teams, Agile methodologies help organizations allocate resources more effectively and focus on high-impact safety initiatives. As a result, safety interventions can be implemented more quickly and with greater coordination across organizational units (Mountain Goat Software, 2022; IEEE, 2020).

Another notable benefit is the capacity of Agile methodologies to facilitate faster organizational responses to regulatory and operational changes. Workplace safety regulations, technological innovations, and operational processes often evolve rapidly, requiring organizations to adapt their safety management systems accordingly. Agile approaches enable organizations to respond more effectively to these changes by promoting flexible planning and iterative implementation of safety measures (Project Management Institute, 2023).

Agile methodologies also play an important role in empowering safety teams and workers. By encouraging cross-functional collaboration and distributed decision-making, Agile frameworks allow employees at different organizational levels to contribute to safety initiatives. This empowerment not only increases employee engagement but also improves the quality of safety solutions, as frontline workers often possess critical insights into operational risks and practical safety challenges (OHS Canada, 2022).

Transparency and accountability are additional benefits associated with Agile frameworks. Visual management tools such as Kanban boards and Scrum artifacts allow organizations to track the progress of safety initiatives, identify delays, and ensure that corrective actions are implemented effectively.

This transparency improves coordination among safety stakeholders and supports more reliable monitoring of safety performance indicators (SafetyCulture, 2023).

Finally, Agile methodologies contribute to the development of resilient safety management systems capable of adapting to complex and uncertain environments. By fostering learning, experimentation, and iterative improvement, Agile approaches enable organizations to build safety systems that continuously evolve in response to changing risks and operational conditions. Such adaptive capacity is increasingly recognized as a critical component of effective occupational health and safety management in modern organizations (Zhang et al., 2022).

Challenges and Limitations in the Adoption of Agile Methodologies in Occupational Health and Safety

Despite the potential benefits of Agile methodologies for occupational health and safety (OHS) management, their implementation within organizational safety systems presents several challenges and limitations. These challenges are related to organizational culture, regulatory environments, professional competencies, and resource availability. Understanding these barriers is essential to ensure that Agile approaches are applied effectively and responsibly within safety-critical contexts (Teebi, 2023; Denning, 2016).

Organizational Resistance to Change

One of the most significant barriers to implementing Agile methodologies in OHS is organizational resistance to change. Many organizations rely on long-established safety management practices that emphasize hierarchical decision-making, formal procedures, and strict compliance frameworks. While these structures are often necessary for regulatory purposes, they may create difficulties when attempting to introduce more flexible and participatory management approaches such as Agile frameworks.

Transitioning toward Agile practices requires cultural shifts that promote collaboration, transparency, and shared responsibility for safety outcomes. However, employees and managers may initially perceive Agile methodologies as incompatible with established safety protocols or regulatory requirements. Without effective change management strategies, these perceptions may lead to skepticism or reluctance to adopt new working methods (Denning, 2016; Codecool Business, 2023).

Regulatory and Compliance Constraints

Occupational health and safety management operates within highly regulated environments where organizations must comply with national legislation, industry standards, and certification systems. Agile methodologies, which prioritize flexibility and iterative experimentation, may sometimes appear to conflict with regulatory requirements that demand formal documentation, traceability, and standardized procedures.

As a result, organizations implementing Agile approaches must carefully integrate these methodologies within existing safety management systems rather than replacing them entirely. Agile frameworks should therefore be adapted to complement regulatory compliance processes, ensuring that innovation in safety management does not compromise legal obligations or established safety standards (American Public Health Association, 2014; IEEE, 2020).

Limited Training and Professional Expertise

Another important limitation concerns the availability of professional competencies required to apply Agile methodologies effectively in safety management contexts. Many occupational safety professionals are trained primarily in regulatory compliance, risk assessment methodologies, and incident investigation procedures. While these competencies remain essential, Agile approaches introduce additional skills related to facilitation, collaborative problem-solving, and iterative innovation processes.

Without adequate training, safety professionals may struggle to integrate methodologies such as Scrum, Kanban, or Design Thinking into their existing practices. Research suggests that targeted training programs and practical workshops are necessary to develop the skills required to apply these methodologies effectively within occupational safety contexts (Chartered Institute of Ergonomics and Human Factors, 2019; Rasmussen et al., 2018).

Communication and Interdisciplinary Coordination Challenges

Agile methodologies rely heavily on communication, collaboration, and multidisciplinary teamwork. In OHS management, this requires effective coordination between safety professionals, engineers, supervisors, managers, and frontline workers. However, communication barriers between these stakeholders can hinder the successful implementation of Agile approaches.

In many organizations, safety departments operate separately from operational units, which may limit opportunities for collaborative problem-solving and joint decision-making. Agile methodologies require stronger integration across organizational functions, emphasizing the importance of establishing communication mechanisms that facilitate continuous dialogue and shared understanding of safety objectives (We Are Progressive, 2023).

Resource Constraints

The implementation of Agile methodologies may also be limited by resource constraints, particularly in organizations with restricted budgets or limited personnel dedicated to safety management. Agile practices often require investment in training, digital tools, and organizational restructuring to support collaborative workflows and real-time monitoring systems.

Organizations with limited resources may find it difficult to implement these changes while maintaining existing safety operations. Therefore, successful implementation often requires strategic planning, leadership commitment, and gradual integration of Agile practices within existing safety management frameworks (Teebi, 2023).

Balancing Flexibility with Safety Reliability

Finally, an important conceptual challenge involves balancing the flexibility of Agile methodologies with the need for reliability and stability in safety-critical systems. Safety management systems must ensure consistency, accountability, and predictability in risk control measures. Excessive flexibility or poorly structured experimentation may introduce uncertainty or inconsistencies in safety procedures.

For this reason, Agile methodologies must be implemented with careful consideration of safety-critical requirements. Rather than replacing established safety management frameworks, Agile approaches should be integrated in ways that enhance learning, adaptability, and stakeholder engagement while preserving the reliability and rigor required for effective risk prevention (Zhang et al., 2022).

Effective Training Strategies for Agile Implementation in Occupational Health and Safety

The successful implementation of Agile methodologies in occupational health and safety (OHS) largely depends on the development of appropriate professional competencies and organizational capabilities. While Agile frameworks such as Scrum, Kanban, and Design Thinking offer valuable tools for managing complex safety challenges, their effectiveness relies on the ability of safety professionals and

organizational teams to understand and apply these methodologies in practical contexts. Consequently, training strategies play a crucial role in facilitating the adoption of Agile practices within safety management systems (Chartered Institute of Ergonomics and Human Factors, 2019).

Training Programs for Safety Professionals

Structured training programs can help OHS professionals acquire the knowledge and skills required to apply Agile methodologies effectively. Such programs often combine theoretical instruction with practical exercises that allow participants to explore how Agile tools can be adapted to safety management processes. For example, workshops on Design Thinking have demonstrated significant potential for enhancing the problem-solving capabilities of safety professionals by introducing systematic methods for analyzing workplace risks and developing innovative interventions (Rasmussen et al., 2018).

Training initiatives should address not only the technical aspects of Agile methodologies but also the organizational and interpersonal competencies required for collaborative problem-solving. Skills such as facilitation, communication, stakeholder engagement, and interdisciplinary collaboration are essential for successfully integrating Agile practices into safety management processes.

Learning-by-Doing Approaches

A particularly effective training strategy for Agile methodologies involves learning-by-doing approaches, where participants apply new concepts directly to real workplace challenges. This experiential learning model allows safety professionals to test Agile tools in practical scenarios while receiving feedback from peers and facilitators.

Design sprints, for example, provide structured opportunities for multidisciplinary teams to explore safety challenges, generate innovative solutions, and prototype interventions within short iterative cycles. These exercises enable participants to develop confidence in applying Agile methodologies while demonstrating their practical relevance to occupational safety management (Rasmussen et al., 2018).

Through repeated cycles of experimentation and reflection, participants can gradually refine their ability to facilitate collaborative safety innovation processes. Such experiential learning approaches align

closely with the principles of Agile methodologies, which emphasize iterative improvement and continuous feedback.

Evaluation of Learning Outcomes

Evaluating the outcomes of training programs is essential to ensure that Agile methodologies are effectively integrated into organizational safety practices. Mixed evaluation methods—such as surveys, interviews, and observational assessments—can provide valuable insights into how participants apply Agile concepts within their professional contexts.

Research on Design Thinking training for safety professionals has shown that participants often experience a significant improvement in their perceived ability to analyze complex safety challenges and facilitate participatory safety interventions following structured training programs (Chartered Institute of Ergonomics and Human Factors, 2019). These findings highlight the importance of ongoing evaluation to refine training strategies and ensure their relevance to real organizational needs.

Continuous Feedback and Iterative Learning

The principles of Agile methodologies themselves can also be applied to the design of training programs. Continuous feedback mechanisms allow trainers and participants to adjust learning activities based on emerging needs and experiences. By incorporating reflective discussions, peer feedback sessions, and iterative learning cycles, training programs can remain responsive to the evolving demands of safety management.

This iterative approach not only improves the effectiveness of training programs but also reinforces the mindset required for Agile safety management. Participants learn to view safety challenges as opportunities for continuous learning and improvement rather than static problems requiring fixed solutions (Teebi, 2023).

Organizational Support for Training

Finally, the effectiveness of training initiatives depends on the level of organizational support provided for professional development. Organizations must create environments that encourage experimentation, collaboration, and knowledge sharing among safety professionals and operational teams.

Leadership commitment is particularly important in promoting a culture that values continuous learning and innovation in safety management. When organizations actively support training initiatives and

allocate resources for capacity development, Agile methodologies can become powerful tools for enhancing safety performance and fostering proactive risk management practices (Denning, 2016).

Organizational Culture and Its Impact on Agile Adoption in Occupational Health and Safety

The successful adoption of Agile methodologies in occupational health and safety (OHS) is strongly influenced by organizational culture. Agile frameworks rely on collaboration, transparency, continuous learning, and distributed decision-making, all of which require supportive cultural environments within organizations. When these cultural conditions are present, Agile approaches can significantly enhance the effectiveness of safety management systems and promote proactive risk prevention practices (Denning, 2016; Cprime, 2023).

Organizational Culture as a Foundation for Agile Practices

Organizational culture refers to shared values, beliefs, and practices that shape how individuals interact and make decisions within an organization. In safety management contexts, culture plays a critical role in determining how workers perceive risks, report hazards, and engage in safety initiatives. A culture that values openness, trust, and learning is more likely to support the successful implementation of Agile methodologies (Codecool Business, 2023).

Agile frameworks encourage teams to experiment, learn from failures, and continuously improve their processes. However, in organizations where safety management is dominated by rigid hierarchical structures or blame-oriented cultures, employees may hesitate to report problems or propose improvements. Such environments can limit the effectiveness of Agile practices, which depend heavily on open communication and collaborative problem-solving.

Psychological Safety and Worker Participation

One of the most important cultural conditions supporting Agile implementation is psychological safety, defined as a shared belief that team members can express concerns, report errors, and contribute ideas without fear of negative consequences. Psychological safety has been widely recognized as a critical factor in high-performing teams and learning-oriented organizations.

In occupational safety contexts, psychological safety encourages workers to report near misses, unsafe conditions, and operational challenges that might otherwise remain hidden. This transparency allows organizations to identify risks earlier and implement preventive measures before incidents occur.

Agile methodologies reinforce this culture by establishing regular communication mechanisms and collaborative decision-making processes that promote worker participation in safety management (Scrum.org, 2023; Denning, 2016).

Strengthening Safety Culture through Agile Practices

The integration of Agile methodologies can contribute to the development of stronger organizational safety cultures. Agile practices promote shared responsibility for outcomes, encourage multidisciplinary collaboration, and create opportunities for continuous feedback and learning. These characteristics align closely with modern safety culture models that emphasize collective commitment to safety and proactive risk management (International Journal of Environmental Research and Public Health, 2016).

When Agile frameworks are integrated into safety management systems, employees become more actively involved in identifying hazards, developing solutions, and evaluating the effectiveness of safety interventions. This participatory approach helps move organizations from reactive safety management toward proactive and learning-oriented safety cultures.

Organizational Alignment and Leadership Commitment

Leadership plays a critical role in shaping organizational culture and supporting the adoption of Agile practices. Leaders must actively promote values such as collaboration, transparency, and continuous improvement while providing the resources and organizational support necessary for Agile implementation.

Without leadership commitment, Agile initiatives may remain limited to isolated projects rather than becoming integrated into broader safety management practices. Leaders must therefore ensure that safety professionals, managers, and operational teams share a common understanding of the benefits and objectives of Agile methodologies (Cprime, 2023).

Cultural Barriers to Agile Adoption

Despite its potential benefits, the adoption of Agile methodologies in OHS may encounter cultural barriers within organizations. Employees accustomed to traditional hierarchical decisión making structures may initially perceive Agile practices as ambiguous or lacking clear authority. Similarly,

organizations with strong compliance oriented cultures may struggle to integrate iterative experimentation and collaborative innovation processes.

Overcoming these barriers requires deliberate cultural transformation efforts, including training initiatives, leadership support, and the gradual integration of Agile practices into existing safety management systems. When organizations successfully align their cultural values with Agile principles, they can create environments that foster innovation, collaboration, and continuous improvement in occupational health and safety management (Teebi, 2023).

Future Trends in Agile Applications for Occupational Health and Safety

The integration of Agile methodologies into occupational health and safety (OHS) management is expected to expand significantly in the coming years as organizations face increasingly complex operational environments and evolving risk landscapes. Rapid technological advancements, digital transformation, and changes in work organization are reshaping traditional safety management approaches, creating opportunities for more adaptive and innovation driven strategies. Within this context, Agile frameworks such as Scrum, Kanban, and Design Thinking are likely to play a growing role in shaping future safety management practices (Zhang et al., 2022; Teebi, 2023).

Integration of Digital Safety Technologies

One of the most significant emerging trends is the integration of Agile methodologies with digital health and safety technologies. Advances in digital systems, wearable devices, and real-time monitoring technologies are enabling organizations to collect and analyze safety related data more effectively than ever before. These technologies can support Agile safety management by providing rapid feedback on workplace conditions, worker behavior, and environmental risks.

For example, wearable safety devices capable of monitoring fatigue levels, exposure to hazardous environments, or ergonomic stress can provide real time data that allows safety teams to identify potential risks before incidents occur. Agile methodologies can facilitate the iterative development and implementation of such technologies, ensuring that solutions are continuously refined based on user feedback and operational experience (Altron HealthTech, 2022).

Data-Driven and Predictive Safety Management

Another emerging trend involves the growing use of data analytics and predictive safety models. With the increasing availability of safety-related data, organizations can apply advanced analytics to identify patterns and predict potential hazards before accidents occur. Agile methodologies can support these initiatives by enabling multidisciplinary teams to experiment with new analytical models, evaluate results quickly, and refine risk prevention strategies through iterative cycles.

This shift toward predictive safety management represents a transition from reactive safety systems focused on incident investigation to proactive approaches that anticipate risks and prevent incidents before they occur. Agile frameworks provide the flexibility required to integrate these analytical tools into existing safety management systems (Zhang et al., 2022).

Expansion of Human-Centered Safety Design

Human centered approaches to safety management are also expected to become increasingly prominent. Design Thinking methodologies emphasize understanding worker experiences and incorporating user feedback into the design of safety solutions. As workplaces become more technologically complex, the integration of human-centered design principles will be essential to ensure that safety systems remain usable, effective, and aligned with real operational conditions (ERM, 2022).

Future safety interventions may therefore focus on designing work environments, technologies, and organizational processes that proactively support safe behaviors and reduce cognitive and physical workload for workers. Agile methodologies can facilitate these design processes by encouraging rapid prototyping and collaborative experimentation among multidisciplinary teams.

Greater Stakeholder Participation in Safety Management

Another important trend is the increasing involvement of workers and other stakeholders in safety decision making processes. Traditional safety management models often rely on top-down approaches in which safety policies are designed primarily by management. However, contemporary safety science emphasizes the importance of worker participation and frontline knowledge in identifying hazards and developing effective prevention strategies.

Agile methodologies encourage stakeholder engagement by promoting collaborative problem solving and transparent communication mechanisms. As organizations adopt more participatory safety

management practices, Agile frameworks can help structure these collaborative processes and ensure that diverse perspectives are incorporated into safety decision-making (Denning, 2016).

Cross-Sector Expansion of Agile Safety Practices

The application of Agile methodologies in OHS is also expected to expand across a broader range of industries. While early implementations have been observed primarily in technology driven sectors and healthcare, Agile approaches are increasingly being explored in construction, manufacturing, logistics, and energy industries.

These sectors often face complex safety challenges involving dynamic operational conditions, multiple stakeholders, and rapidly evolving technologies. Agile methodologies offer a flexible framework for managing such complexity, allowing organizations to adapt safety strategies continuously in response to changing conditions (Project Management Institute, 2023).

Toward Resilient and Adaptive Safety Systems

Ultimately, the future of occupational health and safety management may increasingly rely on the development of resilient and adaptive safety systems capable of responding effectively to uncertainty and complexity. Agile methodologies provide valuable tools for fostering such resilience by promoting continuous learning, experimentation, and collaboration.

By integrating Agile principles into safety management systems, organizations can create environments where safety practices evolve dynamically in response to new information and emerging risks. This adaptive capacity will likely become a defining characteristic of effective safety management in the workplaces of the future.

CONCLUSIONS

The growing complexity of contemporary workplaces, characterized by rapid technological change, evolving work arrangements, and emerging risk profiles, requires new approaches to occupational health and safety (OHS) management. Traditional safety management systems while essential for ensuring regulatory compliance and structured risk control may not always provide sufficient flexibility to respond effectively to dynamic operational environments. In this context, Agile methodologies offer a promising complementary framework for enhancing adaptability, collaboration, and continuous improvement within safety management practices.

This reflection article examined the potential application of three widely recognized Agile methodologies Scrum, Kanban, and Design Thinking in the field of occupational health and safety. The analysis highlighted how these methodologies can contribute to strengthening safety management processes by promoting iterative learning, multidisciplinary collaboration, and worker centered problem solving. Scrum provides structured mechanisms for organizing collaborative safety initiatives through iterative improvement cycles, Kanban enhances transparency and efficiency in managing safety-related workflows, and Design Thinking introduces a human centered innovation process that encourages participatory safety design and creative problem-solving.

The integration of these methodologies into safety management systems can generate several organizational benefits. Agile approaches support continuous improvement, strengthen safety culture, improve risk management capabilities, and facilitate more efficient coordination of safety initiatives. Additionally, Agile practices promote transparency, stakeholder participation, and shared responsibility for safety outcomes, which are critical elements in the development of proactive and resilient safety cultures. However, the analysis also identified important challenges associated with implementing Agile methodologies in OHS contexts. Organizational resistance to change, regulatory constraints, limited professional training in Agile practices, and resource limitations may hinder effective adoption. Furthermore, the flexibility inherent in Agile frameworks must be carefully balanced with the reliability and rigor required for managing safety critical systems. As such, Agile methodologies should not be viewed as replacements for established safety management systems but rather as complementary approaches that can enhance organizational learning and adaptive capacity.

The findings of this reflection suggest that the successful integration of Agile methodologies into occupational safety management requires supportive organizational cultures, leadership commitment, and targeted training programs that develop the competencies necessary for collaborative and iterative safety innovation. Organizations that invest in these capabilities may be better positioned to respond effectively to emerging risks and operational uncertainties. Looking forward, the application of Agile methodologies in occupational health and safety is likely to expand as organizations increasingly adopt digital technologies, data driven safety management systems, and human centered design approaches.

Future research should explore empirical applications of Agile frameworks within different industrial sectors, examine their impact on safety performance indicators, and investigate how Agile methodologies can be integrated with existing occupational safety management standards and regulatory frameworks.

Ultimately, Agile methodologies offer valuable opportunities to transform safety management from a predominantly reactive and compliance-driven function into a more adaptive, participatory, and innovation oriented practice capable of addressing the complex challenges of modern workplaces.

REFERENCES

Altron HealthTech. (2022). Design thinking and re-imagining occupational health and safety. https://healthtech.altron.com/press-releases/design-thinking-and-re-imagining-occupational-health-and-safety-ohs

American Public Health Association. (2014). Occupational injury, illness and fatality prevention through design. https://www.apha.org/policy-and-advocacy/public-health-policy-briefs/policy-database/2014/07/09/15/36/occupational-injury-illness-and-fatality-prevention-through-design-ptd

Atlassian. (2024). Kanban vs Scrum. https://www.atlassian.com/agile/kanban/kanban-vs-scrum

Chartered Institute of Ergonomics and Human Factors. (2019). Training of occupational health and safety professionals in design thinking. https://publications.ergonomics.org.uk/uploads/Training-of-occupational-health-and-safety-professionals-in-design-thinking.pdf

Codecool Business. (2023). Agile methodology in corporate culture: How to implement it effectively. https://business.codecool.com/agile-methodology-in-corporate-culture-how-to-implement-it-effectively/

Cprime. (2023). How to align organizational culture with agile frameworks. https://www.cprime.com/wp-content/uploads/2023/04/How-to-Align-Organizational-Culture-with-Agile-Frameworks.pdf

DeakinCo. (2022). Five ways to apply design thinking in the workplace. https://deakinco.com/resource/5-ways-to-apply-design-thinking-in-the-workplace/

Denning, S. (2016). Consider culture when implementing agile practices. MIT Sloan Management Review. https://sloanreview.mit.edu/article/consider-culture-when-implementing-agile-practices/

EHS Leaders. (2020). How to build an agile work safety program. https://ehsleaders.org/2020/06/how-to-build-an-agile-work-safety-program/

ERM. (2022). Accelerating innovation in EHS with design thinking. https://www.erm.com/insights/accelerating-innovation-in-ehss-with-design-thinking/

General Digital. (2023). Pros and cons of agile development for safety-critical applications. https://generaldigital.com/pros-and-cons-of-agile-development-for-safety-critical-applications/

IEEE. (2020). Agile methods and organizational process improvement. https://ieeexplore.ieee.org/document/9140091

International Journal of Environmental Research and Public Health. (2016). Organizational safety and management approaches. https://pmc.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/articles/PMC5179979/

KVY Technology. (2023). Agile case studies. https://kvytechnology.com/blog/software/agile-case-studies/

Mountain Goat Software. (2022). When Kanban is the better choice. https://www.mountaingoatsoftware.com/blog/when-kanban-is-the-better-choice

OHS Canada. (2022). Managing an EHS team with Scrum principles. https://www.ohscanada.com/opinions/managing-an-ehs-team-with-scrum-principles/

Project Management Institute. (2023). Why agile coaches need to know both Scrum and Kanban. https://www.pmi.org/disciplined-agile/da-flex-toc/why-agile-coaches-need-to-know-both-scrum-and-kanban

Rasmussen, J., et al. (2018). Design thinking in occupational health and safety management. Technical University of Denmark.

https://orbit.dtu.dk/en/publications/design-thinking-in-occupational-health-and-safety-management/

SafetyCulture. (2023). Scrum methodology. https://safetyculture.com/topics/scrum

SafetyCulture. (2023). Kanban methodology. https://safetyculture.com/topics/kanban

Scrum.org. (2023). Scrum requires psychological safety. https://www.scrum.org/resources/blog/scrum-requires-psychological-safety

Teebi, I. (2023). Agile management approaches in organizational environments. https://www.theseus.fi/bitstream/handle/10024/802492/Teebi_Ibrahim.pdf

Zhang, Y., et al. (2022). Applications of agile management approaches in healthcare: A systematic review. https://pmc.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/articles/PMC8835693/